

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,214	09/24/2004	Joseph P. Marino	P51333	5130	
20462 SMITHKLINI	7590 07/30/200 E BEECHAM CORPOR	EXAM	EXAMINER		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220			WANG, SHENGJUN		
P. O. BOX 15: KING OF PRU	39 JSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/30/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US\_cipkop@gsk.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/509,214 MARINO ET AL. Office Action Summary

Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shengjun Wang	1617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY MINIOR OF THE MAINING DEPOSIT OF THE MAINING WAS THE MAINING WHICH THE MAINING WAS THE WAS THE MAINING WAS THE WAS	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I.  sely filed  the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ap	oril 2008.					
		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-,-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	d in this National	Stage			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		d				
`	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer	. ,	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary     Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of branspersons Facility Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_. 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/509,214 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

## DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of invention group I in the reply filed on April 4, 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it does not comply with the unity of invention standard set by the PCT, and it is not an undue burden to search all the inventions in the claims. This is not found persuasive because according to 37 CFR 1.499,

If the examiner finds that a national stage application lacks unity of invention under § 1.475, the examiner may in an Office action require the applicant in the response to that action to elect the invention to which the claims shall be restricted. Such requirement may be made before any action on the merits but may be made at any time before the final action at the discretion of the examiner.

For this reason and the reason as found in the Lack of Unity Requirement mailed 23 May 2003, which is that the instant claims lack unity of invention under PCT rule 13.1 and 13.2 since the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. The compounds claimed contain a nitrogen substituted triazole, which does not define a contribution over the prior art (as can be seen by US Patent No. 5,760,246, which discloses example 383 in the table on column 214). The substituents on the nitrogen substituted triazole vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different compounds. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper. Further, the general structure recited in the claims encompasses structurally distinct compounds, search of all of them would impose an undue burden to the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

Application/Control Number: 10/509,214 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marino et al. (WO 2001/24796, IDS) in view of Palmer et al. (WO01/10904, IDS), Chang et al. and Miller et al.
- 3. Marino et al. discloses the thiophene (or furan) containing triazole herein as non-peptide reversible inhibitor of type 2 methionine aminopepditase (MetAP2) and are useful for treatment of MetAP mediated diseases. See, particularly, pages 4-6, and the claims.
- Marino et al. do not teach expressly the employment of the compounds for treatment of bacterial infections.
- 5. However, Palmer et al. teaches that MetAP is a target of antibacterial therapy for Staphylococcus. See, particularly, the abstract and the claims. Chang et al. and Miller et al. demonstrated that MetAP is essential for bacterial growth. See the abstracts. Also see page 1, lines 31-34 in the specification of this application.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to use the known MetAP2 inhibitor herein for treatment of bacterial infection.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the known metAP2 inhibitor herein for treatment of bacterial infection because MetAP is known to be essential for bacterial growth and would have been an obvious target for treating bacterial infection.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,214 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Shengjun Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617